

HONORABLE RONALD B. LEIGHTON

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT TACOMA

LEE A. HOLDER,

Plaintiff,

v.

CITY OF VANCOUVER,

Defendant.

Case No. C08-5099RBL

ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S
MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION

I. INTRODUCTION

This matter comes before the Court on Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration.¹ (Dkt. #16). In the motion, Plaintiff reiterates several arguments that have been rejected by this Court in its Order Granting Defendant's Motion to Dismiss. (Dkt. #14). Plaintiff claims he is not challenging or seeking review of the state court's adverse rulings. However, his brief is largely a recitation of the facts he claims were incorrectly determined. His argument that the *Rooker-Feldman* doctrine does not apply is incorrect. The decision to dismiss his action on that ground was correct, and will not be reconsidered.

Under Local Rule 7, "[m]otions for reconsideration are disfavored. The court will ordinarily deny such motions in the absence of a showing of manifest error in the prior ruling or a showing of new facts or legal authority which could not have been brought to its attention earlier with reasonable diligence." CR 7(h), *Local*

¹The Plaintiff characterized the motion as "Motion for Review of Decision" but the Court is treating it as a Motion for Reconsideration.

1 *Rules W.D. Wash.* The Court did not err in its previous ruling and will not reconsider it here. Plaintiff has
2 shown nothing more than the fact that he disagrees with the Court's previous ruling. The Plaintiff has not
3 shown that the Court's decision contained manifest error. Finally, the Plaintiff has also shown no new facts
4 or legal authority that could not have been brought to the court's attention earlier. Therefore, Plaintiff's motion
5 to reconsider the court's order of dismissal is DENIED.

6 IT IS SO ORDERED

7 DATED this 23rd day of April, 2008.

8
9 
10 RONALD B. LEIGHTON
11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28